

Paradise

LCAP November Parent Meeting



Indicators of Success 2016



The new accountability system:

- Provides multiple ongoing measures of school performance
- Gives parents, educators, and the community more tools to understand what is happening at their schools
- Promotes equity by helping to identify disparities among student groups
- More effectively identifies the schools that need extra help and where they need it
- Replaces API which was based solely on standardized test scores



Priorities of Rubric

- Student Achievement
- Student Engagement
- School Climate
- Parent Engagement



Nine Indicators of School Success

- 1. Performance standards
- 2. Readiness for college and careers
- 3. Graduation rates
- 4. Progress of English learners
- 5. Suspension rates
- 6. Chronic absenteeism
- 7. Parent engagement
- 8. School climate
- 9. Basic conditions at school




Spring 2016 CAASPP Results (Success Indicator #1)

Standard Met or Exceeded	2015	2016	
PUSD	36%	37%	
STATE	44%	49%	

Spring 2016 CAASPP Results (Priority #1)

Standard Met or Exceeded	2015	2016	
PUSD	22%	22%	
STATE	33%	37%	

2016 Site CAASPP Results (Success Indicator #1)



2015/2016 Site CAASPP Results (Standard Exceeded or Met)

11th	ELA 2015	ELA 2016	Math 2015	Math 2016
State	56%	59%	39%	33%
County	58%	57%	29%	31%
Pleasant Valley	69%	74%	38%	47%
Chico	71%	67%	44%	47%
PHS	59%	54%	29%	30 %
Las Plumas	51%	47%	20%	16%
Oroville	55%	43%	23%	13%



2016 Site CAASPP Results (Standard Exceeded or Met)

		11th	ELA 2015	ELA 2016	Math 2015	Math 2016
Socio Econ	omio	State	48%	49%	19%	21%
Disadvanta		County	45%	45%	18%	17%
		Pleasant Valley	58%	63%	23%	29%
		Chico	63%	49%	30%	29%
		PHS	49%	51%	18%	21%
		Las Plumas	46%	46%	14%	11%
		Oroville	50%	42%	22%	12%

Butte College High School Placement Fall 2014-2016

Stu	dents enterir	ig Butte Colle	ge prepared	for College Le	evel ELA and I	viath
Subject/Year	ELA 2014	Math 2014	ELA 2015	Math 2015	ELA 2016	Math 2016
PHS	55%	46%	61%	50%	55%	37%
OHS	42%	40%	54%	49%	66%	51%
LPHS	46%	30%	48%	39%	50%	40%
CHS	55%	57%	55%	56%	55%	46%
PVHS	59%	59%	62%	63%	59%	53%

VIFIED SCHOOL DISTRIC Small Schools. Big Results.

2016 Site CAASPP Results (Success Indicator #1)

Paradise Int	ermediate		Pine Ridge		
Standard Met or Exceeded	2015	2016	Standard Met or Exceeded	2015	2016
ELA	29%	40%	ELA	29%	29%
MATH	20%	23%	MATH	19%	17%

2015/2016 Site CAASPP Results (Standard Exceeded or Met)

8th	ELA 2015	ELA 2016	Math 2015	Math 2016
State	44%	48%	33%	36%
County	40%	47%	30%	34%
PINT	29%	40%	20%	23%
Pine Ridge	29%	29%	19%	17%
Bidwell Jr.	44%	54%	39%	44%
Chico Jr.	47%	47%	46%	46%
Central	26%	34%	12%	14%



2015/2016 Site CAASPP Results (Standard Exceeded or Met)

	8th	ELA 2015	ELA 2016	Math 2015	Math 2016
Socio Economic	State	32%	36%	21%	23%
Disadvantaged	County	32%	34%	22%	21%
	PINT	26%	37%	23%	19%
	Pine Ridge	34%	44%	11%	27%
	Bidwell Jr.	34%	40%	26%	32%
	Chico Jr.	31%	27%	19%	28%
	Central	25%	30%	11%	12%

2016 Site CAASPP Results (Success Indicator #1)

Ponderosa

2015	2016
28%	32%
20%	25%
	28%

Paradise Elementary

Standard Met or Exceeded	2015	2016
ELA	34%	38%
MATH	21%	22%





2016 Site CAASPP Results (Success Indicator #1)

Cedarwood

Standard Met or Exceeded	2015	2016
ELA	23%	21%
MATH	16%	17%



2015/2016 Site CAASPP Results (Standard Exceeded or Met)

5th	ELA 2015	ELA 2016	Math 2015	Math 2016
State	44%	49%	30%	33%
County	38%	44%	27%	31%
PES	22%	38%	7%	18%
POND	30%	36%	17%	25%
Pine Ridge	22%	35%	17%	16%
CW	28%	14%	17%	5%
Bird Street	19%	30%	41%	17%



2015/2016 Site CAASPP Results (Standard Exceeded or Met)

	5th	ELA 2015	ELA 2016	Math 2015	Math 2016
Socio Economic	State	31%	35%	17%	19%
Disadvantaged	County	26%	32%	15%	19%
	PES	13%	33%	2%	15%
	POND	23%	28%	8%	17%
	Pine Ridge	21%	33%	12%	19%
	CW	25%	13%	12%	<mark>3%</mark>
	Bird Street	19%	30%	42%	17%
					1

Graduation and UC/CSU Eligible (Success Indicator #2 & 3)

	Para	idise High S	school				Н	ow many of courses th	
		, of our ob-		ana du atin	~0				Cour
How many of our students are graduating?						2014-15	28.8		
		State	District	SED	PHS	RVHS	e-Learning	2014-15	20.0
		otato	District	OLD	1110	NVII0	U-Luai ning	2013-14	20.0
	2014-15	82.3%	82.6%	77.6%	92.3%	82.6%	78.6%	2013-14	30.9
	2014-13	02.3%	02.0%	11.0%	52.5%	02.0%	10.0%	2012-13	28.4
	2013-14	81.0%	88.1%	83.8%	96.0%	88.1%	50.0%	2012-13	20.4
	2012-13	80.4%	85.3%	81.8%	97.3%	85.0%	47.1%		

How many of our students have completed the courses they need for UC/CSU eligibility?


	County	District	SED	PHS
2014-15	28.8%	17.8%	14.4%	23.5%
2013-14	30.9%	24.1%	17.3%	31.9%
2012-13	28.4%	21.1%	16.1%	25.3%



Advanced Placement (Success Indicator #2 & 3)

Advanced Placement Results

	Global AP Passage Rates	State AP Passage Rates	PHS AP Passage Rates	# of Students enrolled in AP Class	% of SED Students enrolled in AP Class
2015-2016	60%	62%	61%	166	25%
2014-2015	61%	64%	54%	142	30%
2013-2014	61%	64%	75%	136	23%
2012-2013	61%	64%	71%	102	
2012-2013	61%	64%	71%	102	




District Climate (Success Indicator #8)

At what rates are we suspending or expelling students?

Suspension Rate %9.4%8.4%9.4%Expulsion Rate %.06%.04%.05%POSITIVE Behavior.				
Rate % .05% .04% .05%	Rate %	9.4%	8.4%	9.4%
		.06%	.04%	.05%

Are our students attending school regularly?



2015-2016 Unduplicated Students

District State Butte County	= 65% = 62% = 58%	
	H	

Honey Run Academy	=
Ridgeview High School	=
Pine Ridge	=
Cedarwood	=
Paradise Intermediate	=
Paradise Elementary	=
Paradise High School	=
Ponderosa	=
e-Learning	=

- = 100%
- 94%
- = 78% = 75%
- = 13% = 67%
- = 65%
- = 53%
- = 63%



Three Guiding Principles of LCAP

Step One: Using the eight goal areas in the LCAP guidelines, districts need to identify their main goals. Is there clear and compelling evidence (achievement data, attendance data. stakeholder survey) that the district has specific reasons for establishing the goals?

Step Two: Describe your plan and how you will show progress. What actions are we taking and why we chose these?

Step Three: Agree on transparency and monitoring. Districts need time to implement sustainable, scalable change and there are very few short cuts.

Budget Challenges Affecting 2017-2018 Actions and Services

- Possible New Granton The \$875,000 (Based on 100 students) X 4 years = were
 1% Declining enrollment each year = \$300,000/year
 STRS/PERS \$375,000/year

- 5. CTEIG matching funds \$266,925 each year



motivation 2

community

E enrolment

cooperation

empowere